IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

CENTRAL DIVISION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLARK WADDOUPS

June 18, 2012

Sentencing Hearing

Laura W. Robinson, RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP 351 South West Temple 8.430 U.S. Courthouse Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801)328-4800

Appearances of Counsel:

For the Plaintiff: Carol A. Dain

Attorney at Law

U.S. Attorney's Office 111 South Main Street

Suite 1800

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For the Defendant: Jeremy M. Delicino

Attorney at Law Jeremy Delicino LLC 9 Exchange Place

Suite 600

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Salt Lake City, Utah, June 18, 2012

* * * *

THE COURT: We are here in the matter of the *United*States versus Antonio Cardenas, case 2:09-CR-838. Will

counsel please state their appearance.

MS. DAIN: Carol Dain for the United States.

MR. DELICINO: Jeremy Delicino on behalf of Mr. Cardenas.

THE COURT: Thank you. We are here for sentencing.

Let's begin with a discussion of the calculation of the guideline range. The base offense level in this case begins at 32. Any objection to the starting level of 32?

MS. DAIN: No, objection.

MR. DELICINO: Judge, if I could have just a moment to confer with the government?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. DELICINO: Judge, I think that some of the confusion may be because of the two presentence reports and the first draft and the second draft. I noticed that it looks like about a week ago that the probation department filed an objection sheet or an addendum, and then under modifications it indicates the parties agree that it was appropriate to cross reference to 2G2.1. I have spoken to Mr. Manross about that characterization, and I think it was a misunderstanding between myself and Mr. Manross. My

position was that his initial report was correct although I can understand the basis for the cross reference and the other enhancements that are applied.

And so I think that the modifications as suggested that I made the agreement maybe isn't entirely accurate just that it was my position that Mr. Manross had been correct initially.

THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, I'm using the presentence report that was -- has the revised date of June 7, 2012. And based on the cross reference as you indicated, that produces a guideline range of 32.

Ms. Dain, does the United States want to be heard on whether that's the correct base offense guideline.

MS. DAIN: Your Honor, I think it's fairly clear that the guidelines do indicate that while the charge itself is the transportation of a minor across state lines to engage in sexual activity, the guidelines are fairly clear, I'm sorry I didn't know this was going to be disputed or I would have got case law to support this, but it certainly on its face it says that if there is any production, sexual exploitation of a minor involved in the production of the child pornography, you are supposed to refer back to the guideline 2G2.1 which is the production of child pornography offense if those guidelines are greater. And certainly that is the case in this matter and I believe it does apply.

1 MR. DELICINO: And judge just for the record, I think 2 that if the court finds that the cross reference applies 3 that there are no objections that the defense has with respect to the quideline calculations and I think that is 4 5 the only issue for the defense. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. I believe that the cross 7 reference does apply in that the appropriate base offense 8 level is 32 and will so find. In Paragraph 47, there is a 9 four level enhancement based upon the age of the victim. 10 Any objection to that enhancement? 11 MS. DAIN: No objection. 12 MR. DELICINO: None, judge. 13 THE COURT: Paragraph 48, there is a two level 14 enhancement based upon the fact that the offense involved the commission of sexual acts or sexual contact which I 15 16 believe seems to be clearly supported in this case. Any 17 objection to that two level enhancement? 18 MS. DAIN: No objection. 19 MR. DELICINO: No, judge. 20 THE COURT: Paragraph 49 increases the level by four 21 based upon the use of sadistic or masochistic conduct or 22 depictions of violence. Any objection to that enhancement? 23 MS. DAIN: No, Your Honor. 24 MR. DELICINO: No. 25 THE COURT: Paragraph 50 has an additional two level

1 enhancement based upon the fact that the defendant was in a 2 position of supervisory custody or care. Any objection to 3 that enhancement? MS. DAIN: No, Your Honor. 4 5 MR. DELICINO: No, judge. And in Paragraph 51, a two 6 level enhancement based upon the distribution of the 7 pornographic material. Any objection to that enhancement? MS. DAIN: No, Your Honor. 8 9 MR. DELICINO: No, judge. 10 THE COURT: That results in a total of 46 for which 11 there is a three level reduction placing the total offense level at 43. The criminal history results in a score of 12 13 zero placing the defendant in a criminal history category of 14 one. Any objection to the criminal history category? 15 MS. DAIN: No, Your Honor. 16 MR. DELICINO: None. 17 THE COURT: Are there other objections to the 18 presentence report or other information that should be 19 corrected? 20 MS. DAIN: Not to the amended report, judge. 21 MR. DELICINO: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. The court finds that the 23 quideline range has been correctly calculated and will 24 accept the presentence report as submitted. 25 Based on the guideline range of 43 with a criminal

1 history category of one, the guideline range provision is 2 lifetime sentence with a mandatory minimum of 30 years in 3 prison, with a supervised release term of a minimum of five years with a lifetime supervised release being possible. We 4 will talk about the fine and restitution in a moment. 5 6 Ms. Dain, how does the United States wish to proceed 7 at this point? MS. DAIN: Your Honor, at this point if the court 8 9 would allow, I would like to call the individuals who would 10 like to address the court first. 11 THE COURT: You may proceed. MS. DAIN: Thank you. Your Honor, this is Mrs. Xxxxxx 12 13 Xxxxxx. 14 THE COURT: If you would just state your name for our 15 record so that the court reporter can get an accurate 16 record. 17 MS. DAIN: May I approach? May I approach? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MS. CRONIN: Carol here, that's just the IEP summary. 20 Your Honor, I brought a couple of pictures of my son 21 so that you can kind of get a sense of the type of person 22 that he is. I have also brought a summary of his IEP so 23 that you can kind of glance over his history in school and 24 some of the problems that he has had to face since these

crimes were committed against him.

25

Um, he was diagnosed with a learning disability in first grade and was placed into a resource class at school and was subjected to a lot of teasing from his classmates as a result. He started to get into fights at school because of the teasing. And due to the circumstances beyond his control, he did not have a stable role model early in his life. Um, I believed that Xxxxxx could benefit from a mentor, and in 2004, at the suggestion of a colleague, I contacted Big Brothers Big Sisters of Utah to find Xxxxxx a mentor. Xxxxxx was matched with Mr. Cardenas and at the time they seemed to be a perfect match. They attended many of the activities associated with the Big Brother program together as well as activities sponsored by the organization such as skiing and attending plays and things of the such.

In the summer of 2005, Tony started coming along on many family camping trips with us where he and Xxxxxx would fish, hike, and ride jet skis together, they would boat together. Tony was treated -- he was accepted into our family and treated just like another member of our extended family. He tagged along on many family gatherings. And Xxxxxx himself went to many gatherings at the Cardenas home.

As you can see from the IEP report, Xxxxxx started acting out violently in school in third through sixth grades. This, I believe, was a direct result of the years of abuse that he sustained from Xxxxxx, I'm sorry, from

Mr. Cardenas.

Xxxxxx has been in counseling ever since November 17th, 2009. And he was seen weekly for approximately a year and now currently is seeing a counselor biweekly and will continue to do so indefinitely for the foreseeable future.

Shortly after being notified of the crimes against my son, I registered with the victim notification system. And shortly after that, I started getting bombarded with notices of cases being brought against defendants arrested with images of my son. I have here on this table right here (indicating), mind you, Your Honor, this is only a few months, these are all notices of cases involving images of my son that are circulating through the federal court system right now.

In the beginning, I thought it would be helpful and I started to catalog these to keep track of how many. As you can see here, I have nearly 100. I stopped. I no longer had the stomach for this. I stopped. This, Your Honor, is only six months of cases that are circulating through the court system right now due to the crimes that this man committed against my son.

These will follow my son for the rest of his life. In fact, when my son's grandchildren bury him, these images will still be circulating through the courts. Not only did Tony rape my son repeatedly, he videotaped these

reprehensible crimes and he posted them on the Internet without any regard to his future, any regard whatsoever, and he posted those for any and every degenerate to access -- with access to the Internet to view. He has made sure that these vile crimes will follow my son for the rest of his life.

Jeff Ross, with the FBI, has also informed me that not only are these images circulating here in the U.S., they are global. Degenerates across the planet are viewing images of my son being raped repeatedly.

While being questioned by Jeff Ross, after he was arrested for these crimes, Tony said he cared about Xxxxxx.

Jeff asked Tony if he really cared about Xxxxxx how could he hurt him in this way to which Tony replied, I did not hurt Xxxxxx, you are hurting him by making him talk about it.

This man clearly has no remorse for the pain he has caused my son, nor has he ever cared about Xxxxxx. He has only cared about his sick twisted self-gratification at Xxxxxx's expense. I am also aware that there are in -- during the investigation three other victims, victims of his own family, were brought to light.

I am here asking not only justice for my son, Your

Honor, I'm asking justice for those very same victims.

I'm afraid that if you release this man, if you allow him to ever walk out of prison, every child that comes in contact

with this man is in danger of being victimized by him.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. DAIN: Your Honor, this is the victim, the main victim in this case.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may come forward.

THE VICTIM: All I want to say right now is I don't want to see him protected in prison. I want to see him put out in the main population for what he has done. I don't feel like he needs to be protected by anyone. That's all I have got to say.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. DAIN: And Your Honor this is the victim's sister.

MS. XXXXXXX XXXXXX: Xxxxxx Xxxxxx. The worst mistake I have made in my life to this day is not trusting the first instinct I had about Tony. I let my mom's accusation of racism quiet my suspicions for the rest of his time in our life. Every time I questioned anything she had an explanation. She was always one step ahead of me. My mom is very protective on teaching her children what is good and what is right. She is almost overbearing when it comes to the safety and well-being of her children. Any questions I ever had about what they were doing she always had an explanation for it, she had already asked him. I trusted her judgment. But he was in our lives and into our family's

place. We accepted him as one of our own at birthday parties, BBQ's, camping trips, holidays. He was included and involved in my brother's education. In fact, he was included in every aspect of his life. We trusted him. My mom trusted him. Xxxxxx trusted him. I'm sorry. Hold on

When we found out what happened, I was so angry at everyone. I was angry at my mom because she didn't see it and stop it. Angry at my grandmother because she didn't see and stop it. I was angry at Casey because he wasn't around and that's why my mom got him in Big Brother in the first place. Mostly I was mad at myself because I didn't trust him from the git-go that I just let my brother go.

But really, when it all came down to it, none of us can really be blamed because how could any of us know. The pain he has caused my grandma is unforgiveable. When I see the pain in her eyes every time his name is mentioned I can feel it, my heart breaks for her. I don't know who I hurt for more though, my mom or Xxxxxx. My mom is an amazing woman. The only thing that she wants, the only thing, is for her children to be happy, healthy, and successful.

After everything that she has been through in her life, all the pain, all the tears, all the betrayals, the struggles, she stays strong and pushes forward for one thing and one thing alone, her children. Every move she makes she makes for us. She

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lives and breathes for us. I see my mom stumble and tumble through the years but at the end of the day, when all is said and done, she gets up and wipes off the dirt and moves on with her head held high.

When I asked the agent I spoke to if Tony had raped my brother, I saw her fall when she heard the word yes. I felt her break. What you did to Xxxxxx made her question herself as a mother. The best that she is you made her doubt herself. She allowed you to be a part of our lives, a part of my brother's life, and you spit in her face. You are the most vile monster. You have damaged Xxxxxx for the rest of his life. I will never forget what you have done. I will never understand how you were able do the horrible things you did behind your door and walk through ours and act as though nothing was happening. How could you torture a child day after day, year after year, to be able to form the words that you care about him and his happiness and his future. will never understand how you could believe that if it -that it wasn't you that was hurting Xxxxxx, it was the police that were hurting him by bringing all of this out into the light. I will never understand. You stole the innocence of a child for your own sick sadistic selfish needs. Not just one child but several. You exposed these children to some of the most evil beings that walk this earth. You make good people question and doubt everything

that they thought they were. Xxxxxx, I'm sure, has an idea of how far the Internet goes, but I don't think he can fully grasp the whole extent of it. And one day he is going to realize the full gravity of what has happened to him and all his pain will start over. I hope that one day, sorry. That is pretty much it. Sorry.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?

MS. DAIN: Your Honor, I think Mr. Manross has outlined thoroughly the nature of the crime in the presentence report and I don't know that I need to go into that. I just remind the court that there are multiple victims. While you've only heard from what I would call him the main victim, I certainly don't mean to make any less of the other victims that are photographed and their pictures are also circulated worldwide in this case.

We are recommending the mandatory minimum at this time, and I think, Your Honor, at the request of defense counsel we would like to set restitution over to sort that through more thoroughly.

THE COURT: Thank you. Before I hear from

Mr. Delicino, is there anyone else in the courtroom that
wishes to be heard? Not advised. Yes, you may come
forward.

MR. DELICINO: Judge, if we can approach very quickly.

THE COURT: You may.

1 (Whereupon, a sidebar conference was held.) 2 THE COURT: You need to say that again because it 3 wasn't picked up. MR. DELICINO: I think we probably should find out who 4 5 this is before we allow her to speak before the court and 6 make sure that I didn't know --7 MS. DAIN: I don't know who this is. It might be the 8 mother of one of his nephews. I'm not -- I might have met 9 her in the grand jury. I don't recognize her. It was so 10 long ago I think it is his sister or sister-in-law. 11 THE COURT: I'll ask her to identify herself and what 12 her relationship is. 13 MS. DAIN: Okay. 14 (Whereupon, the sidebar conference concluded.) THE COURT: If you would just identify yourself for 15 16 the record and then tell us what your relationship is. 17 MS. XXXXXXXX: My name is Xxxxxxx Xxxxxxx and we 18 being a victim to Mr. Cardenas. Xx xxx xx Xxx Xxxxxxx and 19 Xxxxxx Xxxxxxxx. And the lady back there said that she went 20 through the same thing which we did and our sad part is that we're a family, you know, pure blood. And I just think that 21 22 he -- I can't believe it and I still couldn't believe that 23 what kind of person Cardenas is doing that to his own 24 family. And it has been so hard for us to talk that my kids 25 didn't want to come in or know anything about what's going

on. They just try not to remember things, if they did, you know, because you know eventually it's going to come one day to them, right? So they just prefer not to know and see what is going on with their xxxxx which is Antonio Cardenas.

All I like to say is, you know, I'm not no one to forgive but only God knows what he did to my kids and he has to pay whatever he has to be done. That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to be heard before we -- yes, you may come forward.

Tell us your name and what your relationship is.

MS. CARDENAS: I am Zenaida and I'm his sister. I am here to tell everyone in this room to remember that we are humans and sometimes people like do the wrong choices. And I believe in the United States Justice and all I want to say is that hopefully taking in consideration all of his past life and taking in consideration his criminal history, he is not a criminal until he is declared criminal, and I'm his sister and I will be his sister for life and I'm just hoping that the right thing gets done today.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Delicino, now you may proceed.

MR. DELICINO: Judge, it is a difficult thing to temper requests for vengeance with requests for mercy. And I'm not suggesting that anyone is here for today is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vengeance. But what they're here for today is for punishment and rightfully so. And the defendant is here to acknowledge what he did and acknowledge the impropriety of his actions, but also to look to the court for some measure of mercy in the sense that he is requesting a sentence of 30 years. As I suggested as I just stated, the defendant did in fact plead quilty. He acknowledged his remorse for the actions that he took against the individuals and the family members that you have heard from today. He is sincere in that remorse. He could have proceeded to trial with the same effective guideline range. It would have been a life guideline range had he gone to trial and lost. we're here today is to ask the court to impose 30 years which if we put into context judge is not an unreasonable request. Much of what the Sentencing Commission and the Supreme Court have done in the past four or five years is look to whether the guidelines are themselves reflective of just sentences and whether they have inherent problems. And I would suggest today that this particular guideline that we're dealing with in 2G2.1 is in this respect is somewhat excessive. And the reason I say that is because if we look to comparable guidelines, for example, the murder guideline, and we look to 2A1.1, if we had assumed that a murder had taken place and Mr. Cardenas was responsible for the same enhancement, the abuse of position of trust but pled guilty,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his guideline range would be 30 to life. And that's assuming that there had been a homicide that had taken place. Because of the sheer number and sheer volume of enhancements that apply in this case, the guidelines in this case have taken it to life. But I would suggest that the court can consider what is an appropriate sentence and the fact that the guidelines in this case are slightly excessive and impose a sentence of 30 years.

One of the court's considerations is to provide rehabilitation -- to insure that the defendant is rehabilitated before he is released. And I would suggest to the court that 30 years is an appropriate period of time to ensure that that happens. If, however, after 30 years of incarceration the defendant still presents a risk and is not a manageable risk, at that point the government can seek to have defendant civilly committed. So if we are here and 30 years from now Mr. Cardenas still poses the same risks to people in the community, the government has measures it can take to ensure that Mr. Cardenas is not released. All of that suggests that perhaps this court can impose a 30-year sentence, a merciful sentence but also one that counts for all of the considerations under the statute including just punishment that it would significantly deter not only Mr. Cardenas because large part it will incapacitate him, but also because it will deter others. It's a stiff

sentence and the message will be received hopefully loud and clear by other individuals. And I would submit that

30 years is an appropriate sentence in this case and ask the court to impose that sentence.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Cardenas, do you wish to make a statement to the court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I can't even start to imagine, you know, the pain that the victim's family and the victim themselves, you know, are dealing with at this time. And for me to be the responsible one that created this, you know, not just for the family, but for my family as well and for society in general. It's -- it was -- it was choices that were made and now I've got to pay the consequences of those choices. And with that, you know, I -- I wish, you know, the victims' family -- I mean it is hard to even, you know, ask for forgiveness from them at this point because obviously they're not ready to give it, but eventually that's, you know, that's something that I would, you know, I will hope that they get to that point because, you know, it is a tough situation for all of them and I can't -- I can't even imagine what they are going through right now and it is a very difficult thing, you know.

The actions that were done, you know, under the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

circumstances that I was in at the time, you know, is -they're unimaginable and I can understand that, you know, and I take responsibility for those. And I have done everything that I could on my side and on my power to be able to assist the individuals that were part of this investigation so that this kind of thing doesn't happen again, this kind of thing doesn't happen to other victims, you know. And I know that's kind of a -- it's not an easy thing to do to -- to be able to help bring, you know, bring it up and talk about it and talk about it in a way that you know you are wanting other -- other people and other victims from not, you know, from this not happening to them. Unfortunately, that's all I can do. That's all I can do. You know what happened has already happened and I'm doing the only thing I could do after the events. And in a lot of ways, you know, this is what I needed. You know what I needed is to be in this situation to be locked up, to go and serve prison time because of what I did. This is what I -what I needed in order to see what I had done. You know, it is almost like an addiction where somebody is going through something but they don't realize it and then they don't acknowledge it until later on -- until later on in life. And I just, you know, I just pray that, you know, that they will eventually find forgiveness in their hearts and as long as that takes and that I am willing to, you know, do the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time. You know the only thing I'm asking in return is to be able to be given a second opportunity at being able to go through the -- through anything, through any type of program that is being offered so that I can, you know, I can help myself as well, you know. And it is kind of harsh saying that knowing that they're struggling through this, but at the same time you know I know I have it in me to be able to do something else and to take something positive from all of this -- from all of this situation. I -- I pray for them and I pray that they can, you know, find it in their hearts and my family they don't like the situation that I am in and they don't -- they dislike the fact that I made those choices, but they have, you know, been there for me and I, you know, I want to thank them for that because thanks to them I have been able to find, you know, a little light at the end of the tunnel and I can say that, you know, I have been able to find the love of God through them. And that's all I want to say, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further before the court makes a decision?

MS. DAIN: Your Honor, one thing I forgot to mention is that the United States would also be requesting after whatever term Your Honor imposes a lifetime of supervision.

THE COURT: Thank you. Let me begin by explaining the requirements that the Court must consider in determining

what an appropriate sentence is. The statute that governs the court's decision making process provides that the sentence is to be sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet the objectives of the statute. We can begin in this particular case with a mandatory minimum sentence of 30 years. The Court, of course, has no choice but to impose a sentence of at least 30 years. There is, however, discretion on the Court to impose a sentence of greater than 30 years given the fact that the guideline range is lifetime in prison.

In making a decision about that, the Court is supposed to -- is required to consider the following factors. First, we start with the fact that the guideline range above the 30 percent is discretionary with the court. The court is charged by the United States Supreme Court to exercise its judgment, taking into account the unique circumstances of each particular case. Those circumstances include the following. The nature and circumstances of the offense. In this particular case, as is well documented, I believe and understood, there was the nature of this offense was an adult man placed in a position of confidence and trust to help a young man who through his own gratifying -- to gratify his own sexual needs and lack of discipline and respect for the child engaged in sexual conduct that was terribly damaging to the child.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The nature of the circumstances also involved the fact that not only did he engage in this sexual conduct for whatever reason to seek gratification or recognition or acceptance in a perverse community, determined to distribute these images that have now gone worldwide with hundreds of people who are now viewing these images. It is almost impossible to stop the distribution of these kinds of materials, and they will continue to be distributed for a long period of time.

Certainly this conduct would provoke a long and a serious sentence. 30 years is a long and serious sentence. The question is should it be longer than 30 years. other factor to be considered by the court, however, is the history and circumstances of the defendant. In reviewing the presentence report and the supporting psycho-sexual evaluation that was done of Mr. Cardenas, it becomes clear that in many respects he too is a victim. Although he stands here as a perpetrator and a person who has caused serious injury to others, he was a victim of early sexualization and repeated incidences during the course of his life that perhaps placed in him urges and desires that are not normal, that caused him to act out in ways to cause damage to others. To what extent that should reduce the sentence or impose -- require a sentence of 30 years I'm still considering that, but that is a factor to be

considered.

2.1

Perhaps all of us should take into account the responsibility that we have in making sure that this kind of early sexualization of our children doesn't produce urges in our children when they grow to be of a more mature age that cause them to act out in ways. We all have that responsibility.

In addition, the Court is to take into account whether or not there is adequate deterrence. In this case, that is an important factor because their psycho-sexual evaluation indicates that Mr. Cardenas is a continuing risk of repeating this crime should he have the opportunity to do so. That's the current state of affairs. I'm required to use my best judgment to determine whether 30 years from now it will continue to be a significant risk of him harming other people. There is nothing before the Court to indicate what the passage of time and treatment may do in terms of alleviating that risk.

The need to protect the public from further crimes is a part of that same evaluation. The Court must make a decision as to whether or not at age 63 Mr. Cardenas is still a significant risk to other people. The Court is also to take into account the need for educational, medical, and other kinds of treatment. Certainly in this case

Mr. Cardenas is in need and has requested that he be given

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the opportunity to have treatment and counseling that will help him address the problems that resulted in this crime. Taking all of those factors into account, it is my judgment, in this particular case, that a sentence of somewhat greater than 30 years is appropriate. Given the amount of distribution involved, the age of the victim, the position of trust and confidence that was shared, and I believe that a sentence of 35 years, which would be 420 months, is the appropriate sentence in this case.

I will now you impose sentence. It is the judgment of the Court that the defendant, Antonio Cardenas, be placed in the custody of the United States Bureau of Prison for a period of 420 months. Upon release from confinement, the defendant will be placed on supervised release for a term of the rest of his life. Within 72 hours, the defendant, if the defendant is not deported, he is to report to the probation office for supervision. The Court finds that the defendant poses a low risk for future substance abuse and does not impose any mandatory drug testing. The defendant is required to submit to the collection of a DNA sample at the direction of the Bureau of Prisons. The defendant is required under the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act to report the address where he will reside and any subsequent change of residence to the probation officer responsible for his supervision and is required to register

as a sex offender in any state where he resides or is employed or carries on a vocation or is a student.

2.1

Based on the instant offense, the defendant's criminal history, the defendant is classified as a Tier 3 Sex

Offender pursuant to Title 1 subtitle A of the Adam Walsh

Child Protection Safety Act. As a result, the defendant will be required to register according to the provisions of this Act for life upon release from imprisonment if he remains in the United States.

The defendant shall not commit any federal, state, or local crime, and as a convicted felon is prohibited from possessing a firearm or other dangerous device. The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance and shall comply with the standard conditions of supervision. The defendant will also be remanded to the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement for deportation proceedings once he is released.

As a condition of supervision, the defendant shall not illegally re-enter the United States. If he should return to the United States, he's instructed to contact the United States Probation Office in the District of Utah. Court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine and waives the fine. The Court will delay imposing restitution for 90 days for the parties to provide additional information as to what the appropriate amount of

1 restitution should be. The defendant is required to pay a 2 special assessment fee in the amount of \$100.00 which is due 3 immediately. Are there other counts to be dismissed, Ms. Dain? 4 5 MS. DAIN: That is a good question, judge. 6 THE COURT: I believe are. 7 MS. DAIN: I believe there are, Your Honor, so the 8 United States would move to dismiss the remaining counts. THE COURT: The Court will dismiss all counts other 9 10 than the count to which the defendant has pled guilty and on which he has been sentenced. I advise the defendant that 11 12 should he wish to appeal the Court's sentence any appeal 13 must be filed within 14 days. 14 Are there any recommendations that the defendant would 15 like me to make to the Bureau of Prisons? 16 MR. DELICINO: Not at this time, judge. 17 THE COURT: He did mention treatment. Do you want --18 do you request that I ask the Bureau of Prisons to evaluate 19 him and consider him for sex offender treatment? MR. DELICINO: I would. I would. 20 2.1 THE COURT: I will make that recommendation. Anything 22 further in terms of clarification that should be included in 23 the sentence that I have missed. 24 MS. DAIN: I don't believe so, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Let's set a time for the hearing on the

restitution. If it is agreed to by stipulation, we can cancel the hearing. Mr. Taylor, would you pick a date for us? THE CLERK: September 18th at 3:30. Anyone aware of a problem with that date? MS. DAIN: That should be fine, Your Honor. MR. DELICINO: That should be fine, judge. THE COURT: Thank you. We'll be in recess. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded.)

1	STATE OF UTAH)
2)ss
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
4	
5	I, Laura W. Robinson, Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public
7	within and for the County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, do
8	hereby certify:
9	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
10	me at the time and place set forth herein and were taken
11	down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
12	typewriting under my direction and supervision;
13	That the foregoing pages contain a true and
14	correct transcription of my said shorthand notes so taken.
15	In witness whereof I have subscribed my name
16	this 22nd day of May, 2017.
17	
18	
19	Laura W. Robinson
20	RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	